

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20291 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,945	07/12/2000	Witold Kula	SJO9-20000043US1	2508
75	90 03/18/2002			
Joshua D Isenberg Lumen Intellectual Property Services 426 Lowell Avenue			EXAMINER	
			HEINZ, ALLEN J	
Palo Alto, CA 94301-3813			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/614,945

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

W. KULA

A. J. HEINZ

2652



The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	visions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed this communication.
 If the period for reply specified above is less than be considered timely. 	thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
communication.	num statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period to Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 	or reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nonths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 704(b).
Status	
	on
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is non-final.
	or allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>13-21</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) 1-3 and 22-24	is/are rejected.
7) X Claim(s) <u>4-12 and 25-33</u>	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.
	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received.
	ocuments have been received in Application No
application from the Inte	the priority documents have been received in this National Stage reational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not received.
	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
- -	• • •
Attachment(s)	101 Laterian Common (DTO 410) Procedure
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	

Serial Number: 09/614,945 Page 1

Art Unit: 2652

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I; Claims 13-21 are drawn to a method of manufacturing a magnetic head, classified in Class 29, subclass 603.14.

Group II; Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are drawn to a magnetic head, classified in Class 360, subclass 324.1:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the magnetic head can be fabricated using steps which do not follow the same chronological order of the claimed steps and/or may omit certain of the steps or may substitute certain steps; e.g. the sputtering step of Cl.13 can be replaced with a vapor deposition process step.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given

Serial Number: 09/614,945 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and require divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. M. Alboszta on 01 March 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 1-12 and 22-33. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 13-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

An action on the patentable merits of the elected claims follows herein.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Serial Number: 09/614,945 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

6. Claims 1-3,22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Horng.

See Fig. 1 and the Specification, col.7, line 52 thru col.8, line 27.

7. For a complete response applicant should identify how the claimed structure of his invention defines over **all** the art of record.

Moreover, where the applicant disagrees with the reasoning and/or application of the prior art on critical points of the claims, they should identify how the claimed structure of their invention defines over **all** the art of record not just the applied art.

Where applicant believes that the art is redundant and/or superfluous relative to the critical aspects of the claimed invention the applicant may simply state so in rebuttal summary.

8. Claims 4-12 and 25-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4 Serial Number: 09/614,945

Art Unit: 2652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A.J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (703)308-1544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist of Group 2700 whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

A.J. HEINZ PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP ART UNIT 2652

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application